SINGLE BENCH

(1) 003

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
W.P. No. 4494/2005

P.R. No. 4576/05
Presented by Shri Ajay Shrivastava
dated 6/9/05

PETITIONER : Narendra Pal Singh Late Darshan Singh, Aged about- 35 years, R/o. Maolipara, Telibandha, Raipur (C.G.), Through : Power of Attorney Holder- Amarjeet Singh S/o Shri Dalbirsingh, Aged about- 38 years, R/o. M.I.G.- 11/234, Hudeo- Bhilai, Tahsil and District- Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : Transport Secretary, D.K.S. Bhawan-Raipur (C.G.).

2. Regional Transport Authority, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 4999/05 ..... **सन् 200** 015

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.9.2005 Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. The case of the petitioner is that he has been granted a regular permit on the route Raipur to Durg vide Permit No.15/2005 and departure timing of bus from Durg is 9.17 a.m. The grievance of the petitioner is that another permit has been granted to one Shri Jivanlal Tamrakar and his bus departure timing from Durg is 9.15 a.m. i.e. two minutes ahead of the petitioner's permit. One another permit on the same route has been granted to Saddam Travels and timing of said permit is also same. Therefore, there is every possibility of accident, as such the petitioner moved an application for change of timing in the Permit No.15/05 along with requisite fee of Rs.1,000/-, but that application is not being considered and decided by respondent No.2. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the application of the petitioner, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the application of the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

20.9.05 Goshal-